

Mid Term for CS222 2005

Tom Costello

Computer Science Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305,

Email `costello@cs.Stanford.EDU`

Please submit your answers by Midnight Wednesday February 16th to the email address above.

We extend First Order Logic with a new term forming operator. It takes three arguments, a first order formula, and two terms. It can be read, $\phi?t_1:t_2$ if ϕ then t_1 else t_2 . It can be defined as

$$\psi(\phi?t_1:t_2) \equiv \phi \supset \psi(t_1) \wedge \neg\phi \supset \psi(t_2) \quad (1)$$

We add the following rules.

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \Rightarrow \psi(t_1), \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \psi(t_2), \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \psi(\phi?t_1:t_2), \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \psi(t_2) \Rightarrow \phi, \Delta \quad \Gamma, \phi, \psi(t_1) \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \psi(\phi?t_1:t_2) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

1. Derive the definition using the rules. ($\phi \equiv \psi$ is a shorthand for $\phi \supset \psi \wedge \psi \supset \phi$).
2. Write definitions for terms, atomic formula, and formulas for the new language.
3. Write a definition for free variables of a formula.
4. Write the truth definition for conditional expressions. I.e. define $\mathfrak{M} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n, (\phi?t'_1:t'_2), t_{n+1}, \dots, t_m)$.
5. Show the rules are sound.

6. Explain why the construction of Henkin theories remains the same, and why the proof that T^* is conservative over T remains valid.
7. Explain why the definition of T_m is unchanged, and why the lemma “If T_m is a maximally consistent extension of T_ω then T_m is a Henkin theory” is still valid despite the changes in the definitions of formulas and terms.
8. Modify the construction of the model \mathfrak{A} of T_m to take care of the new conditional expressions.
9. Show that $T_m \vdash \psi(\phi?t_1:t_2)$ iff $\mathfrak{A} \models \psi(\phi?t_1:t_2)$.
10. Where in your proof did you use each rule?